

# CURRENT EVENT Scoring Guide

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Criteria			Points Earned	Points Possible
Typed in Google Docs, Times New Roman , 12 points font used, and report is double-spaced (DS)				4
Identification section included with name, date of article (properly formatted) and title in correct location				3
Works Cited page on separate page, title included, and citation is indented and double-spaced correctly				4
Reviews were a <u>minimum</u> of one-page in length. (it either is, or it isn't!)				6
Link to article included with review submission.				1
Grammatically correct with NO spelling errors.				4
Commendable	Acceptable	Unacceptable		
Article is summarized correctly in 1-2 paragraphs. Is clear and concise. Not plagiarized ( <b>You will receive a zero if you have plagiarized AT ALL!</b> ). 10-8 pts	Summary is very short and does not include some information. 7-6 pts	Summary is very short and leaves out much information. Plagiarism is apparent. 5-0 pts		10
Reviewer opinion was clear and thorough in how to solve problem or opinion to subject is complete. 10-8 pts	Reviewer opinion was not very clear. Unclear as to how solve problem or opinion of situation. 7-6 pts	Reviewer had no opinion and/or did not state how the problem could be solved. 5-0 pts		10
Knows article and can easily discuss. Very few uhs, ums. Good eye contact with audience. 8-6 pts.	Needs help discussing article. Minor uh, um. Eye contact could be improved. 5 pts.	Can't discuss article fluently. Several uh, um. Poor eye contact. 4-0 pts.		8
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>				<b>50</b>

If a student submits only a copy of the article = 5/50 pts. (+5 more if discussed=10 pts)

Comments: